STAR Early Literacy Enterprise

Criterion	Specific Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Tally of rating
Validity, Reliability and Consistency in Scoring				3
Evidence of test reliability and consistency in scoring	Results of reliability studies are reported for each grade assessment Evidence includes: The studies are appropriate given the purpose of the measure. For each grade-level, studies provide evidence of: Split-half reliability Coefficient alpha Test-retest reliability Classification consistency	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. Correlations demonstrate ranges of .7 or higher. (2)	Overall reliability of scores was .95. Highest at grades 10, 11, and 12. Split-half .92 (no grade indicated) and lowest was .88 at first grade. Alternate form reliability is .91. Sample size are very large, especially at grades 1-3 (over 10,000)	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: Meets or Exceeds:II
	Standard error of measurement or standard estimate of error is reported Evidence includes: SEM estimates are reported for score	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not	Lowest SEM 36, 41 and 48 in grades 1-3 respectively.	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets:

ranges and cut-scores. • SEM estimates are reported for score ranges and cut-scores for each assessment (grade-level, form, subtest).	demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Meets or Exceeds: I
Inter-rater reliability studies have been conducted. Study sample used to establish inter-rater reliability represents test administrators. Evidence includes: Inter-rater reliability studies have been conducted for each grade level and are based on a representative sample of educators who will administer and score the assessment. Inter-rater reliability coefficients exceed .7.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong	N/A NA	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: Meets or Exceeds:I

		evidence. (2)		
	Studies have been conducted to establish reliability with all subcategories of students who will take the assessment. Evidence Includes: Studies that demonstrate reliability has been established from scoring samples of students that include: Non-ELLs with and without reading deficiencies and ELLs with and without reading deficiencies.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Analyze the SEM for various sub groups, including Black, Hispanic, and White. Same is done for Split-half reliability. ELL students in subgroups are not described.	Does Not Meet: I Partially Meets: I Meets or Exceeds:
Alternative forms available for multiple assessments with demonstrated equivalence or comparability	If alternative forms are provided, all forms have demonstrated evidence of equivalence or comparability such as test-retest, parallel form and internal consistency.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)	Computer adapted test. Can be administered frequently at different times and frequencies depending on student needs	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: Meets or Exceeds:II
	Technical reviews indicate all forms for each grade level have demonstrated evidence of comparability and content specifications.	PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or		

	Evidence includes: Sufficient forms are provided to allow for progress monitoring between interim assessments. Split-half reliability. Coefficient alpha reliability.	data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence correlations demonstrate ranges of .7 or higher. (2)		
Evidence of content and construct validity	Evidence reported to demonstrate the assessment helps correctly identify students with "significant reading deficiencies" so that successful remediation and intervention can be provided; studies have been conducted with similar assessments to show that the assessment measures reading ability, not other irrelevant criteria. Evidence includes: A clear description is provided that demonstrates the purpose of the assessment is to screen students for reading concerns. Content specifications for each grade-level, including a complete description of the test content, purpose(s), and intended use(s), and	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong	Blueprint is provided. Validity measures are high67 and higher.	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: Meets or Exceeds:II

accomment blue wint	ovidence /2	
assessment blueprint as appropriate, is provided.	evidence. (2	
Reading levels are reported for passages and how levels were established. Reading levels of assessment passages have been field-tested or have other evidence. Evidence includes: Field testing populations should be clear and should mirror the school/district demographics. Statistics used to establish the reading levels are reported with both ELL and Non-ELL populations. Findings from a content review by field experts, including teachers in tested grade levels.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS — most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong	Does Not Meet: I Partially Meets: Meets or Exceeds:I
If appropriate, findings from alignment studies to demonstrate alignment with Colorado Academic Standards for Language Arts and resolution for any resulting concerns.	evidence. (2 DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence.	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: Meets or Exceeds:II

		(1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS –most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
	There are studies of construct validity, such as convergent and discriminant analysis, demonstrating correlations of .7 or above.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Does Not Meet: I Partially Meets: Meets or Exceeds:I
Evidence of criterion/predictive validity accurately identifying students with "significant"	Evidence reported to demonstrate that the assessment has established criterion and/or predictive validity to correctly identify	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or	The rater's noted a concern because of the lack of application at kindergarten and the	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: II

reading deficiency"	students with and without a "significant reading deficiency." Evidence includes: A clear definition of the criterion or measure that were used to establish concurrent validity. Studies with similar assessments that demonstrate the assessment measures reading ability, not other irrelevant criteria. Predictive validity correlations above .7.	information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	lack of early literacy focus. The test is designed for students who have a 100 word reading vocabulary.	Meets or Exceeds:
Determination of cut- scores based upon well-designed pilot study	The assessment has established cut-scores for decision making about students' "significant reading deficiency" using adequate demographics representing (i.e., 10% ELL and 25% F/R lunch), appropriate criterion assessment, adequate sample size, and appropriate statistics. Evidence indicates: Includes a description of the process used to establish the cut points. A full description of the norming sample. The norming sample is a large representative national sample of	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for	Kindergarten is of concern because this was not designed for them.	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: II Meets or Exceeds:

students at the same	the criterion is		
grade level and is	provided.		
representative of the	Information and		
testing population	2data provided		
according to gender, ELL	suggests		
status, special needs	acceptable or		
status and F/R lunch	strong		
, status.	evidence. (2)		
	(=)		
Studies of classification	DOES NOT	Kindergarten is a	Does Not
accuracy analysis provide	MEET-evidence	concern	Meet:I
evidence that the measure	was not provided for		
appropriately identifies	this criteria or		Partially
students as indicated in the	information		Meets:I
description of purpose of the	does not		
assessment, demonstrating	demonstrate		Meets or
values that exceed .8 or	evidence. (0)		Exceeds:
higher.	PARTIALLY		
	MEETS-partial		
	evidence was		
	provided related to the		
	criterion and/or		
	data provided		
	demonstrates		
	weak evidence.		
	(1)		
	MEETS OR		
	EXCEEDS –most		
	information for		
	the criterion is		
	provided.		
	Information and		
	data provided		
	suggests		
	acceptable or		
	strong		
	evidence. (2)		
Acceptable, recognized	DOES NOT		Does Not
procedures are followed for	MEET-evidence		Meet:
setting cut-scores.	was not		
	provided for this criteria or		Partially
	information		Meets: I
	does not		
	demonstrate		Meets or
	evidence. (0)		Exceeds: I

Г	DADTIALLY		
	PARTIALLY		
	MEETS-partial		
	evidence was		
	provided		
	related to the		
	criterion and/or		
	data provided		
	demonstrates		
	weak evidence.		
	(1)		
	MEETS OR		
	EXCEEDS –most		
	information for		
	the criterion is		
	provided.		
	Information and		
	data provided		
	suggests		
	acceptable or		
	strong		
	evidence. (2)		
CENA actimates are results.	DOES NOT	NI/A · · ·	Danis Mari
SEM estimates are reported	DOES NOT	N/A computer	Does Not
for cut-scores with guidance	MEET-evidence	adaptive	Meet:
for score interpretation.	was not provided for	assessment	
	this criteria or		Partially
	information		Meets: I
	does not		
	demonstrate		Meets or
	evidence. (0)		Exceeds:
	PARTIALLY		
	MEETS-partial		
	evidence was		
	provided		
	related to the		
	criterion and/or		
	data provided		
	demonstrates		
	weak		
	evidence.(1)		
	MEETS OR		
	EXCEEDS –most		
	information for		
	the criterion is		
	provided.		
	Information and		
	data provided		
	suggests		
	acceptable or		

		strong evidence. (2)	
		(2)	
Universal Design	Evidence reported to demonstrate that the assessment has cultural validity, that fairness and bias issues have been addressed; the assessment is accessible to all learners, considering minimizing language load; the format is not a barrier to student performance. Evidence includes: Addressed issues of equity of utility for all populations. Results of bias reviews and plans that have addressed any concerns. At least two to three types of classification, reliability, and validity study data have been disaggregated by subgroups and meet the criteria. Culturally diverse students were included throughout the entire process of test development. For example in the samples of pilot students, in cognitive interviews, etc. The content of the reading materials does not favor mainstream culture.	MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: Meets or Exceeds:II
Third party evaluation conducted	Evidence reported to demonstrate that an	DOES NOT MEET-evidence	Does Not Meet:
Conducted	independent, qualified third	was not	IVICEL.

	1 .		Г
	party has provided a	provided for	Partially
	thorough and unbiased	this criteria or	Meets:
	evaluation of the quality of	information	
	the assessment.	does not	Meets or
		demonstrate	Exceeds: II
		evidence. (0) PARTIALLY	
		MEETS-partial evidence was	
		provided	
		related to the	
		criterion and/ or	
		data provided	
		demonstrates	
		weak evidence.	
		(1)	
		MEETS OR	
		EXCEEDS –most	
		information for	
		the criterion is	
		provided.	
		Information and	
		data provided	
		suggests	
		acceptable or	
		strong	
		evidence. (2)	
		(=)	
Standardization of	Administration protocol is	DOES NOT	Does Not
materials and	scripted and provides precise	MEET-evidence	Meet:
procedures for	guidelines; administration	was not	
administration	windows are clearly	provided for	Partially
administration	-	this criteria or	Meets:
	identified; materials are	information	1410003.
	provided or clear guidelines	does not	Meets or
	are provided if materials are	demonstrate	
	to be created; includes both	evidence. (0)	Exceeds: II
	electronic and hard copy		
	administration manual that	PARTIALLY	
	is clear and concise.	MEETS-partial	
		evidence was	
		provided	
		related to the criterion and/ or	
		data provided	
		demonstrates	
		weak evidence.	
		(1)	
		MEETS OR	
		EXCEEDS –most	
		information for	
1			

		the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	
Efficiency of administration	The amount of time needed to administer the assessment is reasonable and balanced to the information provided.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: Meets or Exceeds: II
Efficiency of scoring	The amount of time needed to score the assessment is reasonable and balanced to the information provided; computer-assisted scoring is available; procedures for		Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: Meets or

Accommodations clearly stated and described for students with disabilities and students with special needs (504, etc.)	calculating scores are clear; scores can be stored and reported electronically. The differing needs of students with disabilities are specifically addressed. Evidence includes: Any accommodations do not compromise the interpretation or purpose of the test. Specific administration guidelines are provided for implementing any accommodations. How to address accommodations is specifically addressed in the training materials or program. Suggested accommodations are research or evidence-based.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Not in addressed depth	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: I Meets or Exceeds:I
Accommodations clearly stated and described for Second Language Learners	The accommodations directly address the linguistic needs of the student. Evidence includes: Any accommodation does not compromise the interpretation or purpose of the test. Specific administration guidelines are provided for implementing any accommodations. How to address	evidence. (2) DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided	Not addressed in depth	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: I Meets or Exceeds: I

	accommodations is specifically addressed in the training. • Suggested accommodations are research or evidencebased.	demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Scores are easily interpreted to determine a "significant reading deficiency"	Scores clearly specify whether a student is categorized as having a "significant reading deficiency". Evidence includes: Score ranges or a scale is provided. Guides for interpretation of scores are provided.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Concern regarding kindergarten appropriateness and early literacy skills addressed.	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: I Meets or Exceeds: I
Cost effective: Materials,	Materials are provided or easily accessible; time away	DOES NOT MEET-evidence	Reviewers were not able to determine	Does Not Meet:

guidance for interpretation useful to educators, administrators, and parents format a understate educator and parents • Data reac	g any additional data in or storage costs; data entry is d.	information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS -partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests	Meets or Exceeds:I
to in Report traje prog Districtass report Report time	tion is displayed in a and language that is andable to ars, administrators ents; a reports are easily d and interpreted. ar description of how anterpret results. Forts provide ectory for student gress. Crict, school, as sroom, and student orts provided.	-	Does Not Meet: Partially Meets: Meets or Exceeds: II

•	Reports available in	the criterion is
	languages other than	provided.
	English.	Information and
•	Customer service is	data provided
	available provided for	suggests
	users.	acceptable or
		strong
		evidence. (2)
		* *

Strengths and Weaknesses:

Strengths:

- 1. Strong reliability and validity.
- 2. Strong connection to Colorado Academic Standards and Common Core State Standards.
- 3. Utility is high, clear cut-scores and reports
- 4. Correlates to foundational skills and standards

Weaknesses:

- 1. Does not meet the purpose of a screener for kindergarten-grade 3.
- 2. Early literacy skills does not go low enough for kindergarten (phonemic awareness)
- 3. Specificity of research base is weak, needs to be addressed more thoroughly

Recommended: XX

Not Recommended: