Criterion	Specific Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Tally of rating
Validity, Reliability and Consistency in Scoring				
Scoring Evidence of test reliability and consistency in scoring	Results of reliability studies are reported for each grade assessment  Evidence includes: The studies are appropriate given the purpose of the measure. For each grade-level, studies provide evidence of:  Split-half reliability Coefficient alpha Test-retest reliability Classification consistency	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. Correlations	Would like to see SEM for cut scores and score ranges.  Some of the items are available upon request.	Does not meet –  Partially Meets - I  Meets or Exceeds - I
		demonstrate ranges of .7 or higher. (2)		
	Standard error of measurement or standard estimate of error is reported  Evidence includes:  SEM estimates are reported for score ranges and cut-scores.  SEM estimates are reported for score ranges and cut-scores for each	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)  PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)		Does not meet – I Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - I

assessment (grade-level, form, subtest).  Inter-rater reliability studies have been conducted. Study sample used to establish inter-rater reliability represents test administrators.  Evidence includes:  Inter-rater reliability studies have been conducted for each grade level and are based on a representative sample of educators who will administer and score the assessment.  Inter-rater reliability coefficients exceed .7.	MEETS OR EXCEEDSInformation and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)  DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - I
Studies have been	or strong evidence. (2)  DOES NOT MEET-	Does Not
conducted to establish reliability with all subcategories of students who will take the assessment.  Evidence Includes: Studies that demonstrate reliability has been established from scoring samples of students that include: Non-ELLs with and without reading deficiencies and ELLs with and without reading	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)  PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)  MEETS OR EXCEEDS  -most information for the criterion is	Meet –  Partially Meets – I  Meets or Exceeds - I

	deficiencies.	provided	
	dendendes.	provided. Information and	
		data provided	
		suggests acceptable	
		or strong evidence.	
		=	
		(2)	
Alternative forms	If alternative forms are	DOES NOT MEET-	Does not
available for multiple	provided, all forms have	evidence was not	meet –
assessments with	demonstrated evidence	provided for this	
demonstrated	of equivalence or	criteria or	Partially
equivalence or	comparability such as	information does not demonstrate	Meets -
comparability	test-retest, parallel form	evidence. (0)	
. ,	and internal consistency.	evidence. (o)	Meets or
	·		Exceeds - II
		PARTIALLY MEETS-	
	Technical reviews	partial evidence was provided	
	indicate all forms for	related to the	
	each grade level	criterion and/or	
	have demonstrated	data provided	
	evidence of	demonstrates weak	
	comparability and	evidence. (1)	
	content	MEETS OR EXCEEDS	
	specifications.	–most information	
	Evidence includes:	for the criterion is	
	Sufficient forms are	provided.	
	provided to allow for	Information and	
	progress monitoring	data provided	
	between interim	suggests acceptable	
	assessments.	or strong evidence	
	Split-half reliability.	correlations	
	Coefficient alpha	demonstrate	
	reliability.	ranges of .7 or	
		higher. (2)	
Contant and Construct			
Content and Construct Validity			
Evidence of content	Evidence reported to	Rating	Does not
and construct validity	demonstrate the	G	meet –
	assessment helps	Does Not Meet	
	correctly identify	– evidence was	Partially
	students with "significant		Meets - I
	reading deficiencies" so	not provided for	
	that successful	this criteria or	Meets or
	remediation and	information	Exceeds - I
	intervention can be	does not	
		20001100	

provided; studies have been conducted with similar assessments to show that the assessment measures reading ability, not other irrelevant criteria.  Evidence includes:  • A clear description is provided that demonstrates the purpose of the assessment is to screen students for reading concerns.  • Content specifications for each grade-level, including a complete description of the test content, purpose(s), and intended use(s), and assessment blueprint as appropriate, is provided.	to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence (1)  Meets or Exceeds – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests	
Reading levels are reported for passages and how levels were established. Reading levels of assessment passages have been field-tested or have other evidence.  Evidence includes:  Field testing populations should be clear and should	acceptable or strong evidence  Does Not Meet  – evidence was not provided for this criteria or information	Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds - I

mirror the school/district demographics.  Statistics used to establish the reading levels are reported with both ELL and Non-ELL populations.  Findings from a content review by field experts, including teachers in tested grade levels.  If appropriate, findings from alignment studies to demonstrate alignment with Colorado Academic Standards for Language Arts and resolution for any resulting concerns.	to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)  Meets or Exceeds — most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence  DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence.	Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds - I
There are studies of construct validity, such as convergent and discriminant analysis,	or strong evidence. (2)  DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or	Does not meet – Partially
demonstrating	information does not demonstrate	Meets -

Tuidon on of	correlations of .7 or above.	evidence. (0)  PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Meets or Exceeds - I
Evidence of criterion/predictive validity accurately identifying students with "significant reading deficiency"	Evidence reported to demonstrate that the assessment has established criterion and/or predictive validity to correctly identify students with and without a "significant reading deficiency."  Evidence includes:  A clear definition of the criterion or measure that were used to establish concurrent validity.  Studies with similar assessments that demonstrate the assessment measures reading ability, not other irrelevant criteria. Predictive validity correlations above .7.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)  PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)  MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Does not meet –  Partially Meets - I  Meets or Exceeds - I
Determination of cut-	The assessment has	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not	Would like to see more information	Does not meet –

scores based upon	established cut-scores for	provided for this	on subgroups and	
well-designed pilot study	decision making about students' "significant reading deficiency" using adequate demographics	criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)	include more subgroups	Partially Meets - I Meets or
	representing (i.e., 10% ELL and 25% F/R lunch), appropriate criterion assessment, adequate sample size, and appropriate statistics.  Evidence indicates: Includes a description of the process used to establish the cut points. A full description of the norming sample. The norming sample is a large representative national sample of students at the same grade level and is representative of the testing population according to gender, ELL status, special needs status and F/R lunch status.	partially MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)  MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and 2data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Exceeds - I
	Studies of classification accuracy analysis provide evidence that the measure appropriately identifies students as indicated in the description of purpose of the assessment, demonstrating values that exceed .8 or higher.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)  PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)		Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - II

	MEETS OR EXCEEDS  -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	
Acceptable, recognized procedures are followed for setting cut-scores.	poes not meet- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Does not meet –  Partially Meets -  Meets or Exceeds - II
SEM estimates are reported for cut-scores with guidance for score interpretation.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)  PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak	Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds - I

		evidence.(1)  MEETS OR EXCEEDS  -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Universal Design	Evidence reported to demonstrate that the assessment has cultural validity, that fairness and bias issues have been addressed; the assessment is accessible to all learners, considering minimizing language load; the format is not a barrier to student performance.  Evidence includes:  Addressed issues of equity of utility for all populations.  Results of bias reviews and plans that have addressed any concerns.  At least two to three types of classification, reliability, and validity study data have been disaggregated by subgroups and meet the criteria.  Culturally diverse students were included throughout the entire process of test development.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Not by subtype, but explanation of language used in passages satisfactory	Does not meet –  Partially Meets - II  Meets or Exceeds -

	For example in the samples of pilot students, in cognitive interviews, etc.  The content of the reading materials does not favor mainstream culture.			
Third party evaluation conducted	Evidence reported to demonstrate that an independent, qualified third party has provided a thorough and unbiased evaluation of the quality of the assessment.	poes not meet- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Does not meet –  Partially Meets -  Meets or Exceeds - II
Administration & Scoring				
Standardization of materials and procedures for administration	Administration protocol is scripted and provides precise guidelines; administration windows are clearly identified; materials are provided or clear guidelines are provided if materials are to be created; includes both electronic and hard copy administration	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)  PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or	Could not find the online admin manual	Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds - I

	manual that is clear and concise.	data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	
Efficiency of administration	The amount of time needed to administer the assessment is reasonable and balanced to the information provided.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)  MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Does not meet –  Partially Meets -  Meets or Exceeds - II
Efficiency of scoring	The amount of time needed to score the assessment is reasonable and balanced to the information provided; computer-assisted scoring is available; procedures for		Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - II

Accommodations clearly stated and described for students with disabilities and students with special needs (504, etc.)	calculating scores are clear; scores can be stored and reported electronically.  The differing needs of students with disabilities are specifically addressed.  Evidence includes:  Any accommodations do not compromise the interpretation or purpose of the test.  Specific administration guidelines are provided for implementing any accommodations.  How to address accommodations is specifically addressed in the training materials or program.  Suggested accommodations are research or evidence-based.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Not found  The only current accommodation is using the ipad for students with differing needs or disability.	Does not meet – I Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds -
Accommodations clearly stated and described for Second Language Learners	The accommodations directly address the linguistic needs of the student.  Evidence includes:  Any accommodation does not compromise the interpretation or purpose of the test.  Specific administration guidelines are	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)  PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)  MEETS OR EXCEEDS	Cannot find  Does not have accommodations for ELLS; such as dialect or articulation errors	Does not meet – II Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds -

	provided for implementing any accommodations.  How to address accommodations is specifically addressed in the training.  Suggested accommodations are research or evidence-based.	-most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Scores are easily interpreted to determine a "significant reading deficiency"	Scores clearly specify whether a student is categorized as having a "significant reading deficiency".  Evidence includes:  Score ranges or a scale is provided.  Guides for interpretation of scores are provided.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0)  PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	p. 49	Does not meet –  Partially Meets -  Meets or Exceeds - II
Cost effective: Materials, administration costs including personnel, scoring, and training	Materials are provided or easily accessible; time away from instruction is minimal; no additional personnel required; all costs inclusive including any additional data platform or storage	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0)  PARTIALLY MEETS - partial evidence		Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - II

_				<del>                                     </del>
	costs; minimal data entry is required.	was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence (1)  MEETS OR EXCEEDS  -most information for the criterion is provided.  Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence.  (2)		
Reports provide guidance for interpretation useful to educators, administrators, and parents	Information is displayed in a format and language that is understandable to educators, administrators and parents;  Data reports are easily read and interpreted.  Clear description of how to interpret results.  Reports provide trajectory for student progress.  District, school, classroom, and student reports provided.  Reports available in real-time.  Reports can be exported to database formats.  Reports available in languages other than English.  Customer service is available provided for users.	poes not meet- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Limited visuals accessed online and seem helpful. It is not clear if there is a district level report, if it is compatible with data storage systems, or available in other languages	Does not meet –  Partially Meets - I  Meets or Exceeds - I

## Strengths:

- 1) Computer adaptive, price, easy to administer
- 2) Cost-effective, currently is free with contributing research; estimated costs are \$2 per student
- 3) Well-researched from the university of Minnesota

## Weaknesses:

- 1) It would be helpful to see more information about accommodations, information about reports and compatability
- 2) No accommodations for dialect, articulation for ELLs
- 3) Does not disaggregate subgroups

Recommend: X X Not Recommended: