Criterion	Specific Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Tally of rating
Validity, Reliability and Consistency in Scoring				
Evidence of test	Results of reliability studies	DOES NOT MEET-	See Attachment A:	Does not meet –
reliability and	are reported for each grade	evidence was not	Technical Manual,	
consistency in scoring	assessment	provided for this criteria or	Chapter 3:	Partially Meet – I
	Evidence includes:	information does	Description of	
	The studies are appropriate	not demonstrate	Research Studies	Meets or exceeds - I
	given the purpose of the	evidence. (0)	(pgs A-36 through A-	ividets of exceeds - i
	measure.	PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was	48)	
	For each grade-level, studies	provided related to		
	provide evidence of:	the criterion and/or		
	 Split-half reliability 	data provided	See Attachment D DIBELS Next	
	Coefficient alpha	demonstrates weak evidence. (1)	Benchmark Goal	
	Test-retest reliability	MEETS OR EXCEEDS	Study	
	Classification	-most information	,	
	consistency	for the criterion is	Coefficients were .07	
		provided.	or higher	
		Information and data provided		
		suggests acceptable		
		or strong evidence.		
		Correlations		
		demonstrate ranges		
		of .7 or higher. (2)		
	Standard error of	DOES NOT MEET-	See Attachment D	Does not meet –
	measurement or standard	evidence was not	DIBELS Next	
	estimate of error is reported	provided for this criteria or	Benchmark Goal	Partially Meet –
	Evidence includes:	information does	Study	
	SEM estimates are	not demonstrate	,	Meets or exceeds - II
	reported for score	evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-		
	ranges and cut-scores.	partial evidence was		
	 SEM estimates are reported for score 	provided related to		
	ranges and cut-scores	the criterion and/ or		
	for each assessment	data provided demonstrates weak		
	(grade-level, form, subtest).	evidence. (1)		
	subtest).	MEETS OR EXCEEDS		
		Information and		
		data provided		
		suggests acceptable or strong evidence.		
		(2)		
		\-/		
	Inter-rater reliability studies	DOES NOT MEET-	See Attachment A –	Does not meet –

	have been conducted. Study sample used to establish inter-rater reliability represents test administrators. Evidence includes: Inter-rater reliability studies have been conducted for each grade level and are based on a representative sample of educators who will administer and score the assessment. Inter-rater reliability coefficients exceed .7.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	DIBELS Next Technical Manual Inner rater reliability and shadow scoring cited in studies	Partially Meet – I Meets or exceeds - I
	Studies have been conducted to establish reliability with all subcategories of students who will take the assessment. Evidence Includes: Studies that demonstrate reliability has been established from scoring samples of students that include: Non-ELLs with and without reading deficiencies and ELLs with and without reading deficiencies.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	See Attachment A – DIBELS Next Technical Manual Study C Demographic data gathered through: http://nces.ed.gov/	Does not meet – Partially Meet – 1 Meets or exceeds - I
Alternative forms available for multiple assessments with demonstrated equivalence or comparability	If alternative forms are provided, all forms have demonstrated evidence of equivalence or comparability such as test-retest, parallel form and internal consistency.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)	n/a Attachment A: Technical Manual (pgs A-85 through A- 89)	Does not meet – Partially Meet – Meets or exceeds - I

	 Technical reviews indicate all forms for each grade level have demonstrated evidence of comparability and content specifications. Evidence includes: Sufficient forms are provided to allow for progress monitoring between interim assessments. Split-half reliability. Coefficient alpha reliability. 	PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence correlations demonstrate ranges of .7 or higher. (2)		
Evidence of content and construct validity	Evidence reported to demonstrate the assessment helps correctly identify students with "significant reading deficiencies" so that successful remediation and intervention can be provided; studies have been conducted with similar assessments to show that the assessment measures reading ability, not other irrelevant criteria. Evidence includes: A clear description is provided that demonstrates the purpose of the assessment is to screen students for reading concerns. Content specifications for each grade-level, including a complete description of the test content, purpose(s), and intended use(s), and assessment blueprint as appropriate, is provided.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Attachment A & C	Does not meet – Partially Meet – I Meets or exceeds - I

Reading levels are reported		Attachment C	Does not meet –
for passages and how levels		Attachment	Does not meet –
were established. Reading			Partially Meet – I
levels of assessment			raitially livieet – i
passages have been field-			Meets or exceeds - I
tested or have other			ivieets of exceeds - i
evidence.			
Evidence includes:			
Field testing populations			
should be clear and			
should mirror the			
school/district			
demographics.			
Statistics used to			
establish the reading			
levels are reported with			
both ELL and Non-ELL			
populations.			
Findings from a content			
review by field experts,			
including teachers in			
tested grade levels.			
If appropriate, findings from	DOES NOT MEET-	N/A	Does not meet –
alignment studies to	evidence was not	,	
demonstrate alignment with	provided for this	Attachment C	Partially Meet –
Colorado Academic	criteria or		
Standards for Language Arts	information does		
and resolution for any	not demonstrate evidence. (0)		Meets or exceeds - I
resulting concerns.	PARTIALLY MEETS-		
	partial evidence was		
	provided related to		
	the criterion and/ or		
	data provided		
	demonstrates weak		
	evidence. (1)		
	MEETS OR EXCEEDS		
	-most information		
	for the criterion is		
	provided.		
	Information and		
	data provided		
	suggests acceptable		
	or strong evidence.		
	(2)		
There are studies of	DOES NOT MEET	Attachment A O F	Doos not most
	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not	Attachment A & F	Does not meet –
construct validity, such as	provided for this		Dortially Mast
convergent and discriminant	criteria or		Partially Meet –
analysis, demonstrating	information does		
correlations of .7 or above.	not demonstrate		Moots or syspeds "
	evidence. (0)		Meets or exceeds - II

	1	T	T	1
		partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Evidence of	Evidence reported to	DOES NOT MEET-	Attachment A /	Does not meet –
criterion/predictive	demonstrate that the	evidence was not	Chpt 6 Validity	
validity accurately	assessment has established	provided for this		Partially Meet – I
identifying students	criterion and/or predictive	criteria or information does		
with "significant	validity to correctly identify	not demonstrate		Meets or exceeds - I
reading deficiency"	students with and without a "significant reading	evidence. (0)		ivided so i exceeds - i
	deficiency."	PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was		
	deficiency.	provided related to		
	Evidence includes:	the criterion and/or		
	A clear definition of the	data provided demonstrates weak		
	criterion or measure that were used to	evidence. (1)		
	establish concurrent	MEETS OR EXCEEDS		
	validity.	-most information		
	Studies with similar	for the criterion is		
	assessments that	provided. Information and		
	demonstrate the	data provided		
	assessment measures	suggests acceptable		
	reading ability, not	or strong evidence.		
	other irrelevant criteria. Predictive validity	(2)		
	correlations above .7.			
Determination of cut-	The assessment has	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not	Attachment A pgs	Does not meet –
scores based upon well-designed pilot	established cut-scores for decision making about	provided for this	A-50 through A-83	Partially Meet – I
study	students' "significant	criteria or	Attachment D	Tartially Micet - I
	reading deficiency" using	information does	Benchmark Goals	
	adequate demographics	not demonstrate evidence. (0)	Study	Meets or exceeds - I
	representing (i.e., 10% ELL			
	and 25% F/R lunch),	PARTIALLY MEETS-		
	appropriate criterion	partial evidence was provided related to		
	assessment, adequate	the criterion and/ or		
	sample size, and appropriate	data provided		

 Evidence indicates: Includes a description of the process used to establish the cut points. A full description of the norming sample. The norming sample is a large representative national sample of students at the same grade level and is representative of the testing population according to gender, ELL status, special needs status and F/R lunch status. 	demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and 2data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Studies of classification accuracy analysis provide evidence that the measure appropriately identifies students as indicated in the description of purpose of the assessment, demonstrating values that exceed .8 or higher.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Attachment A A-50 – A-83	Does not meet – Partially Meet – I Meets or exceeds - I
Acceptable, recognized procedures are followed for setting cut-scores.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or	Attachment A	Does not meet – Partially Meet – Meets or exceeds - II

		data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
	SEM estimates are reported for cut-scores with guidance for score interpretation.	poes not meet- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence.(1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Section IV.G Adapted from the DIBELS Technical Manual A-95	Does not meet – Partially Meet – Meets or exceeds - II
Universal Design	Evidence reported to demonstrate that the assessment has cultural validity, that fairness and bias issues have been addressed; the assessment is accessible to all learners, considering minimizing language load; the format is not a barrier to student performance. Evidence includes: Addressed issues of equity of utility for all populations. Results of bias reviews	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided.	Section IV.C.2 Used DIF, but rubric states "two to three types of"	Does not meet – Partially Meet – I Meets or exceeds - I

	 and plans that have addressed any concerns. At least two to three types of classification, reliability, and validity study data have been disaggregated by subgroups and meet the criteria. Culturally diverse students were included throughout the entire process of test development. For example in the samples of pilot students, in cognitive interviews, etc. The content of the reading materials does not favor mainstream culture. 	Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Third party evaluation conducted	Evidence reported to demonstrate that an independent, qualified third party has provided a thorough and unbiased evaluation of the quality of the assessment.	poes not meet- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Attachment A Technical Manual Chpt. 3 Could not find independent research, the vendor did not provide external evaluation and review of their product	Does not meet – I Partially Meet – Meets or exceeds - I
Standardization of materials and procedures for administration	Administration protocol is scripted and provides precise guidelines; administration windows are clearly identified; materials are provided or clear	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)	Section IV.E Assessment Manual Attachment B	Does not meet – Partially Meet – Meets or exceeds - II

	guidelines are provided if materials are to be created; includes both electronic and hard copy administration manual that is clear and concise.	PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Efficiency of administration	The amount of time needed to administer the assessment is reasonable and balanced to the information provided.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Section IV.B	Does not meet – Partially Meet – Meets or exceeds - II
Efficiency of scoring	The amount of time needed to score the assessment is reasonable and balanced to the information provided; computer-assisted scoring is available; procedures for calculating scores are clear; scores can be stored and reported electronically.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or	Section IV.B and IV.E	Does not meet – Partially Meet – Meets or exceeds - II

		data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Accommodations	The differing needs of	DOES NOT MEET-	Section IV.C	Does not meet –
clearly stated and	students with disabilities are	evidence was not provided for this		Do atio II. A A o o t
described for students	specifically addressed.	criteria or		Partially Meet –
with disabilities and students with special needs (504, etc.)	Any accommodations do not compromise the interpretation or purpose of the test. Specific administration guidelines are provided for implementing any accommodations. How to address accommodations is specifically addressed in the training materials or program. Suggested accommodations are research or evidence-based.	information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Meets or exceeds - II
Accommodations	The accommodations	DOES NOT MEET-	See Section IV.C	Does not meet –
clearly stated and described for Second Language Learners	directly address the linguistic needs of the student.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does		Partially Meet –
	Any accommodation does not compromise the interpretation or purpose of the test. Specific administration guidelines are provided for implementing any accommodations. How to address	not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is		Meets or exceeds - II

	 accommodations is specifically addressed in the training. Suggested accommodations are research or evidencebased. 	provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Scores are easily interpreted to determine a "significant reading deficiency"	Scores clearly specify whether a student is categorized as having a "significant reading deficiency". Evidence includes: Score ranges or a scale is provided. Guides for interpretation of scores are provided.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Section IC.D and IV.E	Does not meet – Partially Meet – Meets or exceeds - II
Cost effective: Materials, administration costs including personnel, scoring, and training	Materials are provided or easily accessible; time away from instruction is minimal; no additional personnel required; all costs inclusive including any additional data platform or storage costs; minimal data entry is required.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS - partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence.	Section IV.F and IV.E	Does not meet – Partially Meet – Meets or exceeds - II

		(2)		
Reports provide guidance for interpretation useful to educators, administrators, and parents	Information is displayed in a format and language that is understandable to educators, administrators and parents; Data reports are easily read and interpreted. Clear description of how to interpret results. Reports provide trajectory for student progress. District, school, classroom, and student reports provided. Reports available in real-time. Reports can be exported to data-base formats. Reports available in languages other than English. Customer service is available provided for users.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Section IV.D	Does not meet – Partially Meet – I Meets or exceeds - I

Strengths and We	eaknesses:
------------------	------------

Strengths:

Efficient to administer, several resources included,

Has proven its validity and reliability over time

A continual field study with over 25 years of research to support DIBELS

Weaknesses:

A little pricey, would like to see independent study if available

None noted

RECOMMEND: XX

Not Recommend: